

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/759,493	URBAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643	

**All Participants:**

(1) Andrea M. Valenti.

(2) Jon Ikegami.

**Status of Application: Examiner's Amendment**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 February 2006

**Time:** 3pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 N/A

Claims discussed:  
 1-46


Prior art documents discussed:  
 N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1-21, Claims 22-43, and claims 44-46 were indicated as three restrictable inventions. Applicant elected over the phone claims 22-43. Applicant agreed to the attached examiner's amendment that amended claim 22 and cancelled claims 1-21, 23, 44-46 (see attached examiner's amendment)..